Roll No.

Total No. of Pages: 02

Total No. of Questions: 10

M.Com. (Sem.-1)
LEGAL ASPECTS OF BUSINESS

Subject Code: MCOP-105-18

M.Code: 75337

Date of Examination: 17-01-2023

Time: 3 Hrs. Max. Marks: 60

### **INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES:**

- SECTION-A contains EIGHT questions carrying TWO marks each and students has to attempt ALL questions.
- 2. SECTION-B consists of FOUR Subsections: Units-I, II, III & IV. Each Subsection contains TWO questions each carrying EIGHT marks each and student has to attempt any ONE question from each Subsection.
- SECTION-C is COMPULSORY and consist of ONE Case Study carrying TWELVE marks.

# **SECTION-A**

# 1. Write short notes on:

- a. Indemnity & Guarantee
- b. Consideration
- c. Caveat Emptor
- d. Holder in Due Course
- e. Competition Act 2002
- f. Prospectus
- g. Trademarks & Designs
- h. Rights of Consumers.

#### **SECTION-B**

# **UNIT-I**

- 2. Who is competent to enter into a contract? Discuss the position of minor in this regard.
- 3. What are the rights and duties of an agent towards his principal?

**1** M-75337 (S32)-2487

#### **UNIT-II**

- 4. Define a negotiable instrument and describe the fundamental characteristics which distinguish negotiable instruments from ordinary goods.
- 5. What is a contract of Sale? Distinguish between sale and agreement to sell.

### **UNIT-III**

- 6. What are the qualifications of a director? When is a person disqualified for appointment as a director of the company? What are the rules as regards disqualification of Directors?
- 7. Explain various types of anti-competitive agreements as defined in competition Act.

### **UNIT-IV**

- 8. Discuss the various consumer disputes Redressal Agencies.
- 9. What is Patents? Discuss the various provision of patent Act.

# **SECTION-C**

# 10. Case Study

In one of the cases, the Goa State Commission held that "The Consumer Protection Act is not for entertaining or compensation speculative transactions or losses". It held that compensation cannot be given under the Consumer Protection Act to those involved in sale and purchase of shares, which are commercial transactions. The order follows an appeal by a Mumbai based stock broker India Infoline Limited against an order of the Goa district Consumer Forum. Initially, the judgement of the Goa District Consumer Forum had awarded damages to Panaji-resident Vaman Nagesh who had lost money in share trading through a Stock broker India Infoline Limited.

In context of the above case:

- a. Why do 'commercial transactions' not fall under the purview of 'consumer' under the Consumer Protection Act?
- b. Why do you think that the above mentioned case was initially filed in the Goa District Forum by Vaman Nagesh?
- c. Where do you think Vaman Nagesh could have filed a further appeal in case he was not satisfied with the judgement passed about his case by the Goa State Commission?

NOTE: Disclosure of Identity by writing Mobile No. or Making of passing request on any page of Answer Sheet will lead to UMC against the Student.

**2** M-75337 (S32)-2487